

# U.S. Soybean Oil Compared to Argentina and Brazil



Global demand for soybean oil is growing, and with businesses, states and countries adopting sustainable policies and committing to building a better environment, that demand is only going to continue.

## Global Demand for Sustainable Soybean Oil

Consumers also increasingly expect the products they buy to come from sustainable sources and soybean customers are passing those demands on to producers.

This is where U.S. Soy continues to meet the expectations of its customers, with **U.S. soybean oil having the lowest carbon footprint** compared with other origins of soybean oil.

U.S. Soy farmers are industry leaders in implementing innovative solutions that ensure they can produce more with fewer resources. Their farming practices are helping to reduce the carbon footprint of their crops as part of an ongoing commitment to sustainability. This allows our customers to produce food, feed, energy and other products that support a healthy society while also preserving the environment for future generations.

Between 1997 and 2017, U.S. **forestland increased by 742 thousand hectares, while cropland decreased by 3.6 million hectares.**

## Since 1980, U.S. Soy Farmers Have Made Sustainability Improvements

↑ 48%

land use efficiency improvement per bushel

↑ 46%

energy use efficiency improvement per bushel

↑ 130%

production increase

↑ 43%

greenhouse gas emissions efficiency per bushel

↑ 34%

soil erosion improvement per acre

## U.S. soybean oil offers a sustainable and a more environmentally friendly solution to the growing demand, particularly when compared to soybean oils from other origins, such as Argentina and Brazil.

The U.S. Soybean Export Council (USSEC), with support from the soy checkoff, partnered with Mérieux NutriSciences | Blonk, a leading international expert in food system sustainability, to use the Agri-footprint™ database to evaluate the carbon footprint of soybeans and soybean oil sourced from different countries. The Agri-footprint™ database is based on the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology, which considers the Land Use Change (LUC) impact according to the Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) standard used by the European Commission to calculate the environmental footprint of a specific product. The Agri-footprint™ database is used to calculate the carbon footprint for a wide range of country-crop combinations.


The carbon footprint of U.S. Soy, including LUC, is significantly lower than both soybean oil and soybeans from Argentina and Brazil. U.S. soybean oil is responsible for .73 kilograms of CO<sub>2</sub> per kilogram of product. The same output in Argentina produces 7.95 kilograms of CO<sub>2</sub> and Brazil 7.90 kilograms of CO<sub>2</sub> per kilogram of product. The carbon footprint of U.S. soybeans is also significantly lower, at 0.40 kilograms per product vs. 4.47 kilograms for soybeans from Argentina and 4.45 kilograms for soybeans from Brazil.

With U.S. soybean farmers adopting and implementing innovative practices and firmly committed to producing crops sustainably, it's clear that U.S. soybeans and soybean oil have an advantage when compared to products from other origins. U.S. soybean farmers are making sure that the carbon footprint of U.S. Soy not only remains low, but that we also continue to develop practices that further minimize our emissions today and in the future.

## U.S. Soy has the Lowest Carbon Footprint Versus Soy of Other Origins Including Land Use Change and Peat


### U.S. SOYBEAN OIL

Figure 1. Illustrates the carbon footprint of soybean oil from various countries.



### U.S. SOYBEANS

Figure 2. Illustrates the carbon footprint of soybeans from various countries.



Source: Mérieux NutriSciences | Blonk, Agri-footprint™  
 \*Results based on default emission modeling, including land use change emissions, according to the rules of the PEFCR-Feed guidance document (European Commission, 2018) as implemented in the Agri-footprint™ 6.3 database. Input data rely on country average FAO statistics and other secondary sources. Supplier-specific information would improve data quality and may provide differing results. Comparisons have not been reviewed in the context of ISO 14040/14044 compliance.

